

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

AP	PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/810,920	03/16/2001	Steven P. Bitler	12969-1	7133
	23676 7.	590 01/28/2002			
I	SHELDON & MAK, INC		EXAMIN	VER	
No.	9TH FLOOR	25 SOUTH LAKE AVENUE TH FLOOR ASADENA, CA 91101	SZEKELY, P	PETER A	
i.	PASADENA, O			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ,				1714	1.4
1				DATE MAILED: 01/28/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/810,920	Applicant(s) BHIeretal,
Office Action Summary	Examiner Szele	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory minim rult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	3/16/01	•
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>		
Disposition of Claims		
$\Box$ Claim(s) $1-2o$		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s) 7,13 and 13 -11		is/are allowed.
Claim(s) 1-5, 8-12 and 20		is/are rejected.
Claim(s) 6 Q10 14		is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction or election
□ Claim(s)		requirement.
	- (a - 100 a -	requirement.
		requirement.
Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object.	ving Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dravent The proposed drawing correction, filed on	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved identified to by the Examiner.	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved identified to by the Examiner.	
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved injected to by the Examiner.	□ disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	ving Review, PTO-948.  is approved in appr	□ disapproved.  (d).  ave been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies	ving Review, PTO-948.  is approved pected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents have	□ disapproved.  (d).  ave been
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.	ving Review, PTO-948.  is approved pected to by the Examiner.  vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents has a periority documents has a periority documents has a periority document.	□ disapproved.  (d).  (ive been  (d) disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun received in this national stage application from the latent Attachment(s)	ving Review, PTO-948.  is approved pected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have a periority document of the priority document of t	□ disapproved.  (d).  (ive been  (d) disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nun received in this national stage application from the latent Attachment(s)	ving Review, PTO-948.  is approved pected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents have a periority document of the priority document of t	□ disapproved.  (d).  (ive been  (d) disapproved.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draven The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numericeived in this national stage application from the left through the copies not received:	ving Review, PTO-948.  is approved is jected to by the Examiner.  vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-of the priority documents had niber) International Bureau (PCT For No(s).	□ disapproved.  (d).  ave been  dule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,920

Art Unit: 1714

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-5, 8-12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al. 5,281,329.
- 2. Mueller et al. disclose oil and SCC polymers in claims 1-5 and dissolving the polymers in hot oil in column 4, lines 25-28. The SCC polymers are discussed in detail from column 2, line 15 to column 3, line 30. All properties are inherent in the composition. Cooling occurs, when the heat is removed. Applicants' claims are not novel.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

Application/Control Number: 09/810,920

Art Unit: 1714

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 4. Claims 1-5, 8-12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mueller et al. 5,281,329.
- 5. The reference has been discussed already. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add the polymers of Mueller et al. to the oil of Mueller e al. without diluting them with a solvent, in order to avoid the necessary steps of dealing with environmentally harmful solvents.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 7, 13 and 15-19 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/810,920

Art Unit: 1714

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (703) 308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718 or (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter Szekely

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714